UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,869	06/28/2006	Gerardus Henricus Broeksteeg	NL040047	1721
	7590 05/14/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		ZAHR, ASHRAF A		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2175		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/596,869	BROEKSTEEG, GERARDUS HENRICUS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ASHRAF ZAHR	2175	

	ASHRAF ZAHR	2175	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (to MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f.).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (l13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/William L. Bashore/ Primary Examiner Tech Center 2100		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Appliant argues, "Balnaves does not teach positioning a pointer between a range start point and a range end point." The Office action asserts "by positioning a pointer in the entire dark area," however, Balnaves makes clear there is no dark area until the pointer is positioned. Therefore Balnaves does not teach positioning a pointer in the entire dark area.

There are three ranges in Balnaves that can be looked at when reading this claim limitation. The first range is Fig 2: 261, 262, 263 or the content portions. The second content range would be the whole clip itself. The third content range would be the dark area in Fig 6A. In order to mark the area a dark a range must first selected. Furthermore there is not order or sequence in the claims regarding how or when the range is selected. The claims merely recite selecting a range by positioning a pointer between a range start poing and a range end point. Therefore, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant

Furthermore, the zones of interests described in Balnives are equivalent to ranges because a zone necessarily has a beginning and end for it to be a zone. Otherwise it would just select the whole clip and would not be a zone.

Furthermore, in regards to the argument that Balnaves does not teach claimed features but generally teaches some type of functions. The features described in the claim are functions and Balnaves discloses them. Specifically, The templates described by Balnaves are merely functions that can be performed on the data. Furthermore, the user may indicate a point or approval or disapproval, and this point information may be inferred to indicated an entire segment of the output production, said segment typically being extrapolated from said point by means of finding the nearest forward and backward content boundaries (transitions) or effects, or by applying a heuristically determined timestep forward and backward from 625 (Balnaves, col 12, In 30-40). Therefore, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant.

In regards to applicant's argument to claim 6. Balnaves discloses the user may indicate a point or approval or disapproval, and this point information may be inferred to indicated an entire segment of the output production, said segment typically being extrapolated from said point by means of finding the nearest forward and backward content boundaries (transitions) or effects, or by applying a heuristically determined timestep forward and backward from 625 (Balnaves, col 13, In 30-40). Therefore, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant.

•